# MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING THE MIDDLE-EUROPEAN POPULATION OF THE GREAT BUSTARD (Otis tarda)

# **ROMANIAN NATIONAL REPORT 2013**

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

# Agency or institution responsible for the preparation of this report

**Ministry of Environment and Climate Change** 

12 Libertății Blvd., District 5 Telephone: +40 21 4089521

Fax: +40 21 3124227 Postal Code 040129

**Bucharest Romania** 

List any other agencies, institutions, or NGOs that have provided input

Milvus Group-Bird and Nature Protection Association

#### **Reports submitted to date:**

First: 2004 (2001-2003) Second: 2008 (2004-2007) Third: 2013 (2008-2012)

Period covered by this report

01.01.2008 - 31.12.2012

**Memorandum in effect in country since:** 

June, 2001

**Designated Focal Point** (and full contact details):

Dr. Nela Miaută

**Ministry of Environment and Climate Change** 

**Biodiversity Department** 

12 Libertății Blvd., District 5

Postal Code 040129 Bucharest, Romania

Telephone: +40 21 4089545

Fax: +40 21 3124227

nela.miauta@mmediu.ro nelamiauta@yahoo.com

#### PART I. GENERAL

This questionnaire follows the structure and numbering of the Action Plan annexed to the Memorandum of Understanding to make it easier to read the relevant action points before the form is filled in. In some cases, however, subactions were not listed separately for the sake of simplicity and to avoid duplications. They should however be taken into consideration when answering the questions.

# **0.** National work programme

country for the Great Bustard pursuant to Paragraph 4(g) of the Memorandum	of Understanding?  ☐ Yes	X No
	,	l(g) of the Memorandum

There was no national work programme or action plan since the Great Bustard is extinct species in the country.

# 1. Habitat protection

# 1.1Designation of protected areas.

To what extent are the display, breeding, stop-over and wintering sites covered by protected areas?

Designation of protected areas under	Classification of Special Protection
national law	Areas according to the requirements of
	Art.4.1 of the EC Birds Directive
☐ Fully (>75%)	☐ Fully (>75%)
☐ High (50-75%)	☐ High (50-75%)
☐ Medium (10-49%)	☐ Medium (10-49%)
X Low (<10%)	X Low (<10%)
□ None	□ None
☐ Not applicable <sup>1</sup>	☐ Not applicable

According to the national law there is a Special Protection Area designated for Great Bustards, ROSPA0097 Pescăria Cefa – Pădurea Rădvani) which covers 1500 ha with relevant habitats for this population and Cefa Natural Park.

2/19

The species occurs only irregularly, no regular stop-over or wintering sites identified.

What measures were taken to ensure the adequate protection of the species and its habitat at these sites?

- ❖ Monitoring and scientific investigation on Great Bustards and their habitat in ROSPA0097 Pescăria Cefa − Pădurea Rădvani and surroundings with regulations focussing on avoidance of disturbances of species and improvement of the habitat suitability;
- **Public awareness campaigns.**

Where are the remaining gaps?

- **❖** Promotion of agri-environmental schemes;
- **A Reinforcement programme of Great Bustard;**
- **❖** Elaboration, approval and the implementation of the Management Plan for ROSPA0097 Pescăria Cefa − Pădurea Rădvani;
- **❖** Understanding the interrelation between species for decreasing the predation pressure on juveniles and adults;
- **❖** Telemetry studies to improve understanding of seasonal movements, survival and mortality factors of Great Bustard population;
- ❖ Study the impact of climatic changes on the productivity and survival of the Great Bustard on its habitat;
- **Effective habitat management to assist restoration of Great Bustard population.**

Are currently unoccupied, but potential breeding habitats identified in your		
country?		
Yes	□ No	$\square$ Not applicable <sup>2</sup>

In the past, Boianu Plain and Bărăgan Plain were breeding habitats of Great Bustard population, but there are not undertaken fesability studies on the actual potential of these areas.

If yes, please explain how these areas are protected or managed to enable the reestablishment of Great Bustard.

# 1.2Measures taken to ensure the maintenance of Great Bustard habitats outside of protected areas.

Please describe what measures have been taken to maintain land-use practices beneficial for Great Bustard outside of protected areas (e.g., set-aside and extensification schemes, cultivation of alfalfa and oilseed rape for winter, maintenance of rotational grazing, etc.).

<sup>2</sup> Countries *outside* of the historic (beginning of 20<sup>th</sup> Century) breeding range of the species.

### No Great Bustard specific measures were taken.

**Great Bustard?** 

To what extent do these measures, combined with site protection, cover the
national population?
□ Fully (>75%)
☐ Most (50-75%)
☐ Some (10-49%)
X Little (<10%)
□ Not at all
☐ Not applicable
Are recently (over the last 20 years) abandoned Great Bustard breeding habitats
mapped in your country?
X Yes □ No □ Not applicable
What habitat management measures have been taken to encourage the return of

No specific measures for Great Bustards have been taken, but Romania took action to avoid the construction of Wind Turbines in the Great Bustard habitat.

The wind farm was planned to be constructed in the Salonta region, in the north-west of country. The area, as part of the Great Hungarian Plain, mainly consists of grasslands and cereal cultures and is known as an important breeding and wintering habitat for numerous bird species.

Until 2012, there were plans for the construction of a wind farm within and around the ROSPA0097 Pescăria Cefa – Pădurea Rădvani which provides important wintering habitat for the Great Bustard.

Since Great Bustards are particularly sensitive to infrastructures, such as wind turbines, buffer zones are recommended.

Romanian Ministry of Environment and Climate Change informed the CMS Secretariat that the Government is committed to limit conflict with Great Bustards, Saker Falcons (Falco cherrug), White-tailed Eagles (Haliaetus albicilla) and Eastern Imperial Eagles (Aquila heliaca) populations.

If there were any measures taken, please provide information on their impact.

# 1.3 Measures taken to avoid fragmentation of Great Bustard habitats.

Are new projects potentially causing fragmentation of the species' habitat (such as construction of highways and railways, irrigation, planting of shelterbelts, afforestation, power lines, etc.) subject to environmental impact assessment in your country?

X Yes		lo		☐ Not applicable
	-			ion on impact assessment that limits it ation of Great Bustard habitats?
□ Yes	X	No		☐ Not applicable
If yes, plea	ase pi	rovide deta	ils.	
		•		mented in any Great Bustard habitat in dum of Understanding?
X Yes			□ No	☐ Not applicable
Veche – I	zvoa recto	re, Salonta rate, trans	, Bihor Count	al restoration in the areas of Baia y (102,46 ha), implemented by Biho reat Bustard habitat of first priority
2. Preven	tion (	of hunting,	disturbance a	and other threats
2.1 Hunting Is Great B	_	d afforded	strict legal prot	ection in your country? □ No
Please, giv	ve det	tails of any	hunting restrict	tions imposed for the benefit of Great

Please, give details of any hunting restrictions imposed for the benefit of Great Bustard including those on timing of hunting and game management activities.

- **❖** Law No. 13/1998 for the ratification of the Convention regarding the conservation of migratory species of wild animals;
- **❖** Emergency Governmental Ordinance No. 57/2007 on the regime of natural protected areas, conservation of natural habitats, wild flora and fauna, modified and approved by Law no 49/2011;
- **\Display** Law No. 407/2006 of game and hunting fund modified and completed;
- ❖ Government Decision No. 971/2011 amending and supplementing Government Decision No. 1.284/2007 declaring Special Protection Areas as part of the European ecological network Natura 2000 in Romania.

Please, indicate to what extent these measures ensure the protection of the national Great Bustard population? The national population is covered by restrictions on hunting to prevent hunting-related disturbance:

<b>X Fully (&gt;75%)</b>
☐ Most (50-75%)
☐ Some (10-49%)
☐ Little (<10%)
☐ Not at all
☐ Not applicable

#### 2.2 Prevention of disturbance.

What measures have been taken to prevent disturbance of Great Bustard in your country, including both breeding birds and single individuals or small flocks on migration?

- **Awareness campaigns for the public;**
- **❖** Inspections within the SPA by the National Environmental Guard, the rangers of the Cefa Natural Park Administration

Please, indicate to what extent these measures have ensured the protection of the national population.

The national population is covered by restrictions on other activities causing disturbance:

□ Fully (>75%)

X Most (50-75%)

☐ Some (10-49%)
☐ Little (<10%)
☐ Not at all
☐ Not applicable

# 2.3.1 Prevention of predation.

What is the significance of predation to Great Bustard in your country?

The significance of predation to Great Bustard is unknown. However, predation could be a major problem due to the Red Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and sometimes the Imperial Eagles (*Aquila heliaca*) in the potential breeding areas.

What are the main predator species?

The main predator species is the Red Fox (Vulpes vulpes).

What measures have been taken to control predators in areas where Great Bustard occurs regularly?  Intensified hunting of foxes was not a successful measure to control predators in areas where Great Bustard population occurs regularly due to the insufficient data.
How effective were these measures?  ☐ Effective (predation reduced by more than 50%) ☐ Partially effective (predation reduced by 10–49%) ☐ Less effective (predation reduced by less than 10%)  X Not applicable
2.3.2 Adoption of measures for power lines.  What is the significance of collision with power lines in your country?  The significance of collision with the power lines is unknown. However, it's quite possible. The habitat's fragmentation caused by the power lines is a possible risk as well.
What proactive and corrective measures have been taken to reduce the mortality caused by existing power lines in your country?  Not the case
What is the size of the populations affected by these corrective measures? <b>Not the case</b>
How effective were these measures?  ☐ Effective (collision with power lines reduced by more than 50%) ☐ Partially effective (collision with power lines reduced by 10–49%) ☐ Ineffective (collision with power lines reduced by less than 10%)  X Not applicable
<ul><li>2.3.3 Compensatory measures.</li><li>What is the size (in hectares) of Great Bustard habitat lost or degraded for any reasons since the Memorandum of Understanding entered into effect (1 June 2001)?</li><li>Not the case</li></ul>
What is the size of the populations affected?  Not the case
Were these habitat losses compensated? ☐ Yes ☐ Partially ☐ No <b>X Not applicable</b>

If yes, please e Were these me ☐ Yes	easures effective?	□ No	X Not applicable
Please, give de if that is the ca		iveness or e	explain why they were not effective
3. Possession	and trade		
	f Great Bustard eg eggs prohibited in	. •	s, the possession of and trade in the try?
any? The restriction  Emergence natural and fau Law N complex	ons are covered by ency Governmen protected areas ana, modified and to. 407/2006 of ted;Law No. 69/	y the follow tal Ordina , conservat l approved game an /1994 on r	ving: nce No. 57/2007 on the regime of ion of natural habitats, wild flora by Law no 49/2011; nd hunting fund modified and ratification of the Convention on I Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.
Please indicate prohibited.  Not the case.	e if any exemption	is granted	or not all of these activities are
4. Recovery n	<u>neasures</u>		
Is captive bree country?  ☐ Yes X Please, descr	No ibe the measures nply with the IUC	role in Grea	t Bustard conservation in your facilities involved and how these
<b>4.2 Reintrodu</b> Have there bee		aken to rein	troduce the species in your country?
□ Yes	X No		

<sup>\*</sup> In effect, "captive breeding" should be read as "captive rearing" according to current practices.

If yes, please describe the progress. If there was any feasibility study carried out, please summarize its conclusions.

During the period 19-23 July 2011 was held in Saratov, the meeting of the UNESCO National Commissions representatives from Romania, Republic of Moldova and Russian Federation for discussing the possibility to reintroduce the Great Bustard population into the wild with the support of the scientific institutes and Government authorities.

There was no fesability study carried out, but discussions took place regarding the reintroduction of the Great Bustard in Romania in the Comana Nature Park, Giurgiu County (25000 ha), Călărași County (2500 ha), Olt County (10000 ha), Arad and Timiş Counties (18000 ha) in collaboration with Hungary, Galați County (8000 ha), in collaboration with Republic of Moldova.

Until this moment, there are no conclusions about these idea.

Are captive reared birds released in your country?	
□ Yes No	
If yes, please summarize the experience with release programmes in your country. What is the survival rate of released birds? What is the breeding performance of released birds?  Not applicable	
What is the overall assessment of release programmes based on the survival of released birds one year after release?  ☐ Effective (the survival is about the same as of the wild ones) ☐ Partially effective (the survival rate is lower than 75% of the wild birds) ☐ Ineffective (the survival is less than 25% of wild birds)  X Not applicable³	of
5. Cross-border conservation measure	
Has your country undertaken any cross-border conservation measures with neighbouring countries?	
X Yes □ No □ Not applicable	e <sup>4</sup>

No release is taking place in the country.

<sup>4</sup> For countries which do not have any transboundary population.

Please, give details of your country's collaboration with neighbouring countries on national surveys, research, monitoring and conservation activities for Great Bustard. Especially, list any measures taken to harmonise legal instruments protecting Great Bustard and its habitats, as well as funding you have provided to Great Bustard for particular conservation actions in other Range States.

Romania (Apuseni National Park Administration, Milvus Group - Bird and Nature Protection Association) has undertaken cross-border conservation measures with Hungary (Körös-Maros National Park Directorate) through an Intergovernmental (HU-RO) Expert Group on Conservation of Biodiversity.

Monitoring activities have been carried out through a project financed by the Hungary-Romania Cross-Border Co-operation Programme 2007-2013.

# 6. Monitoring and research

# 6.1.1 Monitoring of population size and population trends.

Are the breeding, migratory or wintering Great Bustard populations monitored in your country?

y y y -	
X Yes	□ No
What proportion of the national population is monitored?	
□ All (>75%)	
X Most (50-75%)	
□ Some (10-49%)	
☐ Little (<10%)	
□ None	
□ Not applicable	

what is the size and trend in the national	population?
Breeding/resident population	Non-breeding population (on passage wintering)
No. of adult males: 3	-
No. of females: 4	No. of adult males:6
No. immature males: 2	No. of females: 7 No. immature males: 27
Trend:	
Declined by% over the last 10 years ☐ Stable	Trend: ☐ Declined by% over the last 10 years
☐ Increased by% over the last 10 years	☐ Stable ☐ Increased by% over the
Unknown	last 10 years
The ornithologists from Milvus G Association have observed Great Bust December 2012. The maximum number of immature in December 2012.  6.1.2 Monitoring of the effects of habit Is the effect of habitat conservation meas	roup-Bird and Nature Protection tard population from February until adividuals of Great Bustard was 27 in at management.  The sures monitored in your country?
☐ Yes ☐ Partially	
X NO □ Not app	dicable
Please, provide a list of on-going and corresults are already published.  Not the case.	mpleted studies with references if
What can be learned from these studies?	
What are the remaining gaps and what m these gaps?	easures will your country do to address
It is needed for implementing me accordance with biological needs	

5

Only for countries where the species occurs regularly.

- **Support** extensification of agricultural practices in key areas for **Great Bustard:**
- **❖** Introduce agri-environmental schemes or similar incentive measures to promote farming techniques compatible with the species' requirements and monitor the effectiveness of such measures;
- \* Ensure that afforestation, wind energy, transport, irrigation and other projects which could affect the Great Bustard's habitat do

not take place in areas with this species.
6.2.1 Comparative ecological studies.  Have there been any comparative studies carried out on the population dynamics, habitat requirements, effects of habitat changes and causes of decline in your country in collaboration with other Range States?  ☐ Yes
Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published  Not the case.
What can be learned from these studies?  Not the case.
What are the remaining gaps where the Memorandum of Understanding could assist?  Predation is a problem for the Great Bustard population and the scientific comparative studies could offer more information about the correlation between Otis tarda populations and the predators' control.
6.2.2 Studies on mortality factors.  Are the causes of Great Bustard mortality understood in your country?  ☐ Yes X Partially ☐ No ☐ Not applicable
Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.  Studies on mortality factors and the results were not published.
What can be learned from these studies?  These studies could give us more information about the projects which can effect the Creek Purstand population

1 affect the Great Bustard population.

What are the remaining gaps and what measures will your country do to address these gaps?

- **\*** Establish an appropriate National Work Programme for the management of this species;
- **Solution** Establish monitoring programme to improve knowledge of Great Bustard distribution, ecology and behaviour;
- **❖** Protection of Great Bustards and their nests and eggs from threats such as disturbance, egg-collecting and predation;
- **Second Second S**

	actor	•		ting breeding success.  ccess in core populations understood in your
•		Partially	X No	☐ Not applicable <sup>6</sup>
	e alre	le a list of o	0	nd completed studies with references if
What car		earned fron	n these stu	dies?
address t	hese	gaps?	•	hat measures are you going to take to
Develop	resea	arch proje	cts of facto	ors limiting breeding success.
6.2.4 Stu	ıdies	on migrati	on.	
Were the		•	n migration	n routes and wintering places carried out in
□ Yes		Partially	X No	☐ Not applicable

The key sites are Special Protection Area ROSPA0097 Pescăria Cefa – Pădurea Rădvani, Salonta region, in Bihor County.

Wintering places of the resident population usually are inside or near the

Where are the key sites and what is the size of the population they support?

Wintering places of the resident population usually are inside or near the breeding areas.

The estimated size of Great Bustard population is 35-40 individuals.

Do you have any knowledge about the origin of these birds supported by ringing or other marking methods?

The birds are coming from Hungary, Dévaványa Landscape Protection Area (Tájvédelmi Körzet) within the Körös-Maros National Park.

<sup>6</sup> Only for breeding countries.

What are the remaining gaps and what measures will your country do to address these gaps?

- There is lacking knowledge of flocks of adult during the summer and early autumn;
- Intensive collaboration with farmers and hunters associations;
- Great Bustard conservation projects on migration routes and wintering places are necessary;
- Cross-boundary collaboration for increasing the small Great Bustard population in Romania;
- Detailed monitoring to improve understanding of the interaction between released bustards and their environment;
- Elaboration and promotion of agri-environment schemes to improve the suitability of the 'wider countryside' for Great Bustards;
- Re-establishment of the Great Bustard as an integral part of the Romania avifauna.

# 7. Training of staff working in conservation bodies

Is there any mechanism in place in your country to share information on biological characteristics and living requirements of Great Bustard, legal matters, census techniques and management practices to personnel working regularly with the species?

☐ Yes X No	☐ Not applicable
If yes, please describe it.	
Have personnel dealing with G programme in other Range State	reat Bustard participated in any exchange tes?
X Yes □ No	□ Not

If yes, please give details on number of staff involved, country visited and how the lessons were applied in your country.

The experts of Milvus Group-Bird and Nature Protection Association are involved in Great Bustard project, in Hungary and Romania for conservation this species. Communication and dissemination actions are needed to develop a high profile for the project both in local communities and in key target.

# 8. Increasing awareness of the need to protect Great Bustards and their habitat

What measures have been taken to increase the awareness about the protection needs of the species and its habitat in your country since signing the Memorandum of Understanding?

- **❖** Contacts with the stakeholders of land-users, local and governmental authorities:
- \* Awareness campaigns via media, leaflets in Hungarian and Romanian languages.

Do	farmers,	shepherds,	political	decision	makers	and	local	and	regional
auth	authorities support Great Bustard conservation?								
X Yes			☐ Partially		□ No				

What are the remaining gaps or problems and how are you going to address them?

- Better dissemination of information regarding the Great Bustard population;
- Reducing the threats to the Great Bustard population (loss and degradation of its habitat through agricultural intensification, landuse changes and infrastructure development;
- Reducing the main mortality causes;
- Avoiding the disturbance which can disrupt feeding, mating activities and can increase the probability of collision with power lines;
- Monitoring the predation of mammalian predators, especially Red Fox populations:
- Identify and apply adequate compulsory restrictions on breeding sites on agricultural practices that significantly reduce the breeding success of the species, such as moving of alfalfa or grass according to the local breeding phenology of species and provide compensation to

farmers.						
9. Econo	omic measures					
	•		op economic activities that are in at Bustard in your country?			
□ Yes	X Partially	□ No	☐ Not applicable			
What pei □ All (>		oulation is covered i	n total by these measures?			

□ Most (50-75%)
□ Some (10-49%)
X Little (<10%)
□ None
☐ Not applicable
How effective were these measures?
☐ Effective (more than 50% of the targeted area is managed according to the
species' needs)
X Partially effective (10-49% of the targeted area is managed according to the
species' needs)
☐ Ineffective (less than 10% according to the species' needs)
☐ Not applicable

# 10. Threats

Please, fill in the table below on main threats to the species in your country. Use the threat scores categories below to quantify their significance at national level. Please, provide an explanation on what basis you have assigned the threat score and preferably provide reference. Add additional lines, if necessary.

#### **Threat scores:**

<u>Critical</u>: a factor causing or likely to cause **very rapid declines** (>30% over 10 years).

<u>High:</u> a factor causing or likely to cause **rapid declines** (20-30% over 10 years).

Medium: a factor causing or likely to cause relatively **slow, but significant, declines** (10-20% over 10 years.

<u>Low:</u> a factor causing or likely to cause **fluctuations.** 

<u>Local</u>: a factor causing local declines but likely to cause **negligible declines at population level.** 

<u>Unknown</u>: a factor that is likely to affect the species but it is unknown to what extent.

Threat name	Threat score	Explanation and reference		
Habitat loss	Critical	Loss of natural pastures seems to be one of the most urgent problems to be resolved. There are several cases (Salonta, Valcani, Beba Veche) when grasslands used by Great Bustards population for display were transformed to arable lands and forest plantations.		
Losses of eggs and chicks	Unknown	No research projects on the probability of survival of Great Bustard population until now.		
Predation	Unknown	Predation could be a major problem due to the Red Fox (Vulpes vulpes) and sometimes Imperial Eagles (Aquila heliaca) in the potential breeding areas.		
Collision with powerlines	Unknown	No bird was found.		
Human disturbance	Local	Grazing combined with human disturbance (shepherd) could be significant in the breeding period. Information about failed breeding due to sheep grazing was collected in the Salonta region.		
Pesticides	Unknown	Toxicological studies on Great Bustard could not be done due to the small population.		
Illegal hunting	Unknown	No case official declared.		
Habitat fragmentation	High	Afforestation, power lines, wind mill on migration routes.		

#### PART II. COUNTRY-SPECIFIC ACTIONS

Please report on the implementation of the country-specific actions listed for your country in Part II of the Action Plan and provide information if that is not already covered by your answers under Part I. Please describe not only the measures taken but also their impact on Great Bustard or its habitat in the context of the objectives of the Memorandum of Understanding and the Action Plan. Where you have already answered on country-specific actions in Part I, please only add a reference to the relevant answer here.

Not the case.